Comparative Property Taxes

• Housing is likely to generate 2 to 3 x more in annual City <u>property taxes</u> than a commercial/industrial build out

Single-family subdivision:

• Estimated Full Market Value: \$41.2 million

• Gross Taxable Digest: \$16.5 million

• Net Digest after Exemptions: \$14.8 million

• Annual Property Taxes: \$140,750

• *Resident Population increase: 358

		A۱	g. Value/Ac	Total	Tax
Component	Acres	Units	or Unit	Full Value	Digest
SF Homes	18.46	123	\$330,000	\$40,590,000	\$16,236,000
Pool	0.8		\$800,000	\$640,000	\$256,000
Parks	1.1		\$7,500	\$8,250	\$3,300
Common Area	2.0		\$3,500	\$7,000	\$2,800
Gross R.E. Digest:	22.36	123	\$335,327	\$41,245,250	\$16,498,100
Plus Residential Personal Property (Boats, vehicles, etc.)					\$51,816
Gross Digest at Build Out:				\$16,549,916	
Less Homestead Exemptions @ Estimated Average -\$1			-\$14,100	-\$1,734,300	
Net Tax Digest at Build Out				\$14,815,616	
Annual City Property T	axes @	N	lillage Rate	9.5	\$140,748

^{*}Population change estimated at an average of 2.91 persons per unit

• Commercial/Industrial/Flex build out:

• Estimated Full Market Value: \$13.3 million

• Taxable Real Estate Digest: \$ 5.3 million

• Taxable Personal Property: \$0.96 million

• Total Property Tax Digest \$6.3 million

Annual Property Taxes: \$59,700

• *Potential on-site employment: 255

			Average	Total	Тах
Component	Acres	SF	Value/SF	Full Value	Digest
Industrial	20.46	60,000	\$80.00	\$4,800,000	\$1,920,000
Office	0.8	30,000	\$150.00	\$4,500,000	\$1,800,000
Commercial	1.1	25,000	\$160.00	\$4,000,000	\$1,600,000
Gross R.E. Digest:	22.36	115,000	\$116.00	\$13,300,000	\$5,320,000
Plus Commercial Personal Property @		18.1% of RE Value		\$962,039	
Net Tax Digest at Build Out				\$6,282,039	
Annual City Property Ta	axes @		Millage Rate	9.5	\$59,679

^{*} On-site jobs estimated at an average of 2.2 per 1,000 SF





Comparative Total City Revenues at Build Out

General Government Forecast	City-wide FY 2020 Actual	Residential Option	Nonresidential Option	
General Fund Revenues				
Taxes				
Real & Personal Property taxes	\$4,674,846	\$140,700	\$59,700	
Stormwater Fees	(Included)	\$5,900	\$7,200	
Insurance Premium taxes	\$1,062,080	\$23,800	\$0	
Business/Occupational Taxes	\$175,932	\$0	\$34,600	
Franchise tax	\$799,245	\$15,200	\$2,500	
Excise/Alcohol Taxes	\$254,168	\$0	\$5,000	
Licenses and permits	\$618,677	\$10,600	\$3,800	
Fines and Forfitures	\$418,356	\$8,300	\$1,200	
Charges for services	\$611,323	\$20,500	\$30,500	
Investment Income & Other Revenue	\$123,928	\$4,200	\$6,200	
Intergovernmental & Transfers (No Assumed Impact)				
Estimated Revenues	\$8,738,555	\$229,200	\$150,700	

^{*} Various formulas were used to forecast Project-related revenues by source.

Depending on the mix of tenants/end users, non-residential development generates slightly more non-property tax related revenue than the residential subdivision – but still generates 34% less in total City revenues from all sources

 If existing and fully completed today, development of these parcels would have increased total FY 2020 City general fund revenues by:

• Residential Proposal: 2.6%

• Nonresidential Concept: 1.7%

 Impacts on City business-type activities (such as trash collection) are not addressed

- Nonresidential scenario assumes
 - Multi-tenant occupancy by 18 businesses
 - A minimum of one restaurant with full liquor licensing
- Residential scenario assumes population growth will eventually impact the City's receipt of TAVT, insurance premium and other taxes distributed via revenue sharing formulas
 - Actual revenue increases could lag development by several years
- Alternative development scenarios could produce significantly different results

Comparative City Service Costs at Build Out

General Government	City-wide	Residential	Nonresidential
Forecast	FY 2020 Actual	Option	Option
General Fund Service Costs			
General government	\$2,174,350	\$31,800	\$7,600
Judicial	\$358,079	\$3,300	\$600
Public safety	\$2,560,285	\$37,500	\$18,800
Public Works	\$1,358,642	\$23,600	\$2,100
Community & Econ. Development	\$326,212	\$2,400	\$1,100
Planning & Zoning	\$440,405	\$5,300	\$2,300
Recreation and culture	\$354,749	\$10,300	\$300
Estimated Service Costs	\$7,572,722	\$114,200	\$32,800

^{*} Various formulas were used to forecast Project-related City service costs by source.

Net Fiscal Impact

General Government	City-wide	Residential	Nonresidential
Forecast	FY 2020 Actual	Option	Option
General Fund Revenues	\$8,738,555	\$229,200	\$150,700
General Fund Service Costs	\$7,572,722	\$114,200	\$32,800
Surplus (Deficit) of Revenue/Cost	\$1,165,833	\$115,000	\$117,900
Margin	13.3%	50.2%	78.2%

 If existing and fully occupied today, development of these parcels would have increased total FY 2020 City general fund expenditures by:

Residential Proposal: 1.5%Nonresidential Concept: 0.4%

Impacts on City business type activities are not addressed

- KBA estimates that a non-residential development scenario would generate 71% <u>less</u> in City service costs than a residential subdivision – more than offsetting corresponding lower City revenues
- Both alternatives generate a similar positive net fiscal impact <u>at build out</u>, with the nonresidential concept exceeding the proposed subdivision by 3%
 - This comparison does not consider time lag effects
 - Different development concepts can produce significantly different results
 - Positive net impacts in the short term are likely to erode over time





Long-Term Net Fiscal Impact

- KBA prepared a 20-year fiscal forecast using the following assumptions
 - Property taxes assume no change in millage rates
 - Residential floating homestead exemptions will limit property tax growth to resales & renter occupied units
 - Nonresidential property values increase 2.0% per year
 - All other City revenues increase 2.5% per year
 - City residential service costs are assumed to increase at twice the rate of commercial services
 - The residential subdivision starts construction in 2022 and begins to impact City budgets by 2023
 - The commercial/industrial concept is not implemented for 5 years and begins to impact City budgets by 2027
 - Future revenues are discounted at 5% to estimate net present value
- The nonresidential build out begins to outperform the subdivision by 2030 but on a net present value basis the residential use produces a larger positive net fiscal impact of about 27% over 20 years







